



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

INNOVA HOSPITAL SAN ANTONIO
4243 E SOUTHCROSS BLVD
SAN ANTONIO TX 78222-3727

Respondent Name

Liberty Insurance Corp

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 01

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-0389-01

MFDR Date Received

September 30, 2010

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "According to the Worker's Compensation Estimated Payment Calculator, we should receive a minimum of \$1,137.87 for the surgery facility fee. This is based on the CPT code and the HCPCS entered."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,931.56

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Liberty Mutual believes that Innova Hospital San Antonio has been appropriately reimbursed for services rendered."

Response Submitted by: Liberty Mutual Insurance Group

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 9, 2010	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,931.56	\$1,931.56

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline – Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated June 4, 2010

- X936 – CPT OR HCPC IS REQUIRED TO DETERMINE IF SERVICES ARE PAYABLE.
- Z652 – RECOMMENDATION OF PAYMENT HAS BEEN BASED ON A PROCEDURE CODE WHICH BEST

DESCRIBES SERVICES RENDERED.

- X129 – PROCEDURE NOT DOCUMENTED IN OPERATIVE REPORT.
- Z710 – THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE.
- B291 – THIS IS A BUNDLED OR NON COVERED PROCEDURE BASED ON MEDICARE GUIDELINES; NO SEPARATE PAYMENT ALLOWED.

Explanation of benefits dates September 21, 2010

- U634 – PROCEDURE CODE NOT SEPARATELY PAYABLE UNDER MEDICARE AND OR FEE SCHEDULE GUIDELINES.
- Z652 – RECOMMENDATION OF PAYMENT HAS BEEN BASED ON A PROCEDURE CODE WHICH BEST DESCRIBES SERVICES RENDERED.
- X263 – THE CODE BILLED DOES NOT MEET THE LEVEL/DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCEDURE PERFORMED/DOCUMENTED. CONSIDERATION WILL BE GIVEN WITH CODING THAT REFLECTS THE DOCUMENTED PROCEDURE.
- Z710 – THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE.
- B291 – THIS IS A BUNDLED OR NON COVERED PROCEDURE BASED ON MEDICARE GUIDELINES; NO SEPARATE PAYMENT ALLOWED.
- B405 – DOCUMENTATION NOT SUBMITTED OR INSUFFICIENT TO ACCURATELY REVIEW THIS BILL.

Issues

1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. Review of the submitted documentation finds no information to support that the disputed services are subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute.
2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables in accordance with subsection (g). Review of the submitted documentation finds request for separate reimbursement of implantables was made however, the requestor did not certify that the amount billed represents the actual costs for the implantables in accordance with §134.403(g)(1). Reimbursement will therefore be calculated at 200 percent of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount, including any outlier payments, as specified in §134.403(f)(1)(A).
3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - The health care provider billed procedure code 55000 for date of service April 9, 2010. Review of the submitted information finds that the documentation does not support this service as billed. No payment can be recommended.
 - The health care provider billed procedure code 55001 for date of service April 9, 2010. Review of the submitted information finds that the documentation does not support this service as billed. No payment can be recommended.
 - Procedure code A4649, date of service April 9, 2010, has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other

services, including outliers.

- Procedure code 99070, date of service April 9, 2010, has a status indicator of B, which denotes codes that are not recognized by OPSS when submitted on an outpatient hospital bill. Reimbursement is not recommended.
 - Procedure code 29824, date of service April 9, 2010, has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0041, which, per OPSS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$2,016.77. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,210.06. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.8913 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,078.53. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$806.71. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$1,885.24. Per 42 Code of Federal Regulations §419.43(d) and Medicare Claims Processing Manual, CMS Publication 100-04, Chapter 4, §10.7.1, if the total cost for a service exceeds 1.75 times the OPSS payment and also exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,175, the outlier payment is 50% of the amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPSS payment. The OPSS Facility-Specific Impacts file does not list a cost-to-charge ratio (CCR) for this provider. The requestor did not submit documentation of the facility CCR for consideration in this review. Per Medicare policy, when the provider's CCR cannot be determined, the CCR is estimated using the statewide average CCR as found in Medicare's OPSS Annual Policy Files. Medicare lists the Urban Texas 2010 Default CCR as 0.2223. This ratio multiplied by the billed charge of \$20,825.00 yields a cost of \$4,629.40. The total cost of all packaged items is allocated proportionately across all separately paid OPSS services based on the percentage of the total APC payment. The APC payment for these services of \$1,885.24 divided by the sum of all APC payments is 100.00%. The sum of all packaged costs is \$7,274.13. The allocated portion of packaged costs is \$7,274.13. This amount added to the service cost yields a total cost of \$11,903.53. The cost of these services exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,175. The amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPSS payment is \$8,604.36. 50% of this amount is \$4,302.18. The total APC payment for this line, including outlier payment, is \$6,187.42. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$12,374.84
 - Procedure code 00400, date of service April 9, 2010, has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
 - Procedure code 93005, date of service April 9, 2010, is unbundled. This procedure is a component service of procedure code 29824 performed on the same date. Payment for this service is included in the payment for the primary procedure. A modifier is not allowed. Separate payment is not recommended.
4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$12,374.84. The amount previously paid by the insurance carrier is \$49.66. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1,931.56. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,931.56.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,931.56, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

March 28, 2013
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.